In Situ Observations of Preferential Pickup Ion Heating at an Interplanetary Shock
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Nonthermal pickup ions (PUIs) are created in the solar wind (SW) by charge-exchange between SW ions (SWIs) and slow interstellar neutral atoms. It has long been theorized, but not directly observed that PUIs should be preferentially heated at quasiperpendicular shocks compared to thermal SWIs. We present in situ observations of interstellar hydrogen (H+) PUIs at an interplanetary shock by the New Horizons’ Solar Wind Around Pluto (SWAP) instrument at ~34 au from the Sun. At this shock, H+ PUIs are only a few percent of the total proton density but contain most of the internal particle pressure. A gradual reduction in SW flow speed and simultaneous heating of H+ SWIs is observed ahead of the shock, suggesting an upstream energetic particle pressure gradient. H+ SWIs lose ~85% of their energy flux across the shock and H+ PUIs are preferentially heated. Moreover, a PUI tail is observed downstream of the shock, such that the energy flux of all H+ PUIs is approximately six times that of H+ SWIs. We find that H+ PUIs, including their suprathermal tail, contain almost half of the total downstream energy flux in the shock frame.
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Introduction.—As the solar wind (SW) expands outward from the Sun into interplanetary space, slow interstellar neutral atoms (mostly hydrogen, H) flowing into the heliosphere interact with SW ions (SWIs) via charge exchange [1]. The ionized interstellar neutral atoms are “picked up” by the motional electric field of the SW, hence their name pickup ions (PUIs). During the pickup process, newly injected PUIs first form a narrow ring beam in velocity space and then subsequently scatter onto an isotropic shell distribution. The Coulomb collisional time for protons is significantly larger than the SW propagation time; thus, PUIs do not thermalize with the SWIs [2]. Interstellar PUIs have been observed by, e.g., Ulysses SWICS out to ~5 au [3], revealing a high acceleration efficiency for PUIs at interplanetary shocks [4], though SWIs still contain the majority of the plasma pressure at this distance and dominate the shock interaction.

New Horizons’ Solar Wind Around Pluto (SWAP) [5] instrument utilizes a top-hat electrostatic analyzer to detect ions in the energy range ~0.021–7.8 keV/q [6]. It has made high resolution measurements of the SW out to ~41 au from the Sun [6,7]. SWAP also uses its large field of view to provide high quality measurements of PUI speed distributions. McComas et al. [7] provided the first analysis of interstellar PUIs comoving with the SW out to ~38 au from the Sun, quantifying the PUI density, temperature, and internal pressure from SWAP measurements and extrapolating their moments to the SW termination shock (TS), offering key predictions for outer heliosphere studies. Since H+ PUIs dominate the internal plasma pressure beyond ~20 au [7], it is believed that they should have a significant effect on the energy dissipation at interplanetary shocks. It has been theorized [8–10] and inferred from Voyager 2 in situ measurements [11] that nonthermal PUIs should be preferentially heated at quasiperpendicular shocks compared to thermal ions; however, this has not yet been observed.

In this Letter, we provide the first in situ observations of the preferential heating of H+ PUIs at an interplanetary shock. We analyze a particular shock that was observed by SWAP at ~34 au from the Sun when both H+ SWIs and H+ PUIs were measured. This shock is intriguing because the interaction appears quite similar to Voyager 2 observations at the TS [11], although Voyager 2 was unable to observe PUIs. Observations of a PUI-mediated shock provides important insights into other shocks in the heliosphere. For example, observations show that there is a significant suprathermal particle population in the inner heliosheath downstream of the TS [12,13]. These populations are important for understanding, for example, the plasma pressure gradients in the heliosheath [14] as well as their contribution to energetic neutral atoms observed at 1 au by NASA’s Interstellar Boundary Explorer [15–17].

Observations and analysis.—At approximately 02:11 UTC on 2015 October 5, the SWAP instrument aboard New Horizons observed an interplanetary shock with a ~17% jump in SW speed from ~380 to 440 km s⁻¹, and a significant increase in H+ SWI temperature (~1100%) downstream of the shock (Fig. 1). While the cadence of SWAP measurements of SWIs is ~10 min, interstellar H+...
PUIs are measured using 1-day histograms of SWAP count rates to compute more accurate moments of the PUI distribution [7]. Nonetheless, we are able to determine that the average PUI filled-shell density increased by a factor of \( \sim 2.5 \) and temperature increased by \( \sim 65\% \) across of the shock.

We estimate the shock speed \( V \) in the Sun frame using the change in \( H^+ \) PUI density from upstream \( (n_1) \) to downstream \( (n_2) \) of the shock, such that \( V = (n_2u_2 - n_1u_1)/(n_2 - n_1) \), where \( u \) is the SW speed in the Sun frame. We use the PUI density, rather than the SWI density, to compute the shock strength since it appears that the SWI density fluctuates due to other SW disturbances unrelated to the shock, while the PUIs remain stable for several days before and after the shock. In fact, if we compute the 1-day average SWI density before and after the shock at the same time scale as the PUIs, the SWI density actually decreases by \( \sim 10\% \). Note that, as we show later, a fraction of PUIs form a suprathermal tail downstream of the shock. The PUI tail is also included in the calculation of the compression ratio.

We find that the density compression ratio \( n_2/n_1 = 3.0 \) and shock speed \( V = 475 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). This compression ratio is slightly higher than that observed by Voyager 2 at the TS [11]. The Voyagers were not able to directly measure PUIs in the SW or at the TS. However, SWAP observations show that PUIs already dominate the internal pressure in the SW by \( \sim 20 \text{ au} \) from the Sun, with an ever-increasing number density fraction with distance, so that they surely contain the vast majority of internal pressure at the TS [7]. Thus, we provide a comparison between SWAP and Voyager 2 observations in Fig. 2 to better understand the role of PUIs at heliospheric shocks. A comparison between their measurements upstream and downstream of the shocks is shown in the Supplemental Material [18].

An interesting aspect of the SWAP observations is that there is a gradual reduction of the SW speed by \( \sim 10\% \) (in the shock frame) within \( \sim 0.07 \text{ au} \) ahead of the shock (Fig. 2). There is a corresponding increase in \( H^+ \) SWI temperature by \( \sim 100\% \), likely a result of adiabatic compression of the slowing SW plasma. A distance of \( \sim 0.07 \text{ au} \) is much larger than the \( H^+ \) PUI gyroradius (\( \sim 10^5 \text{ km} \) or \( \sim 10^{-4} \text{ au} \), for 0.1 nT magnetic field), suggesting that this is created by a positive gradient in high energy (\( \sim \text{MeV} \)) particle pressure ahead of the shock [19,20].

At \( \sim 34 \text{ au} \) from the Sun, PUIs are only a few percent of the proton number density [18,22], and thus produce an internal pressure much smaller compared to the SW dynamic pressure. At the TS, the PUI density is expected to be \( \sim 15\%–30\% \) of the total density [7,15], such that the PUI internal pressure is \( \sim 10\%–20\% \) of the SW dynamic pressure. Nevertheless, PUIs gain a significant fraction of energy across the interplanetary shock observed by SWAP despite their low number density. To quantify this, we calculate the energy density flux \( E_i \) (hereafter “energy flux”) for each particle species (subscript \( i \))

\[
E_i = \frac{1}{2} m_i n_i u_i^2 + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} n_i k_B T_i u_i,
\]

where \( n_i \) is number density, \( T_i \) is temperature, \( m_i \) is mass, \( \gamma = 5/3 \) is the adiabatic index, \( k_B \) is Boltzmann’s constant, and \( u_i \) is the SW bulk flow speed in the shock frame. Equation (1) is derived from the magnetohydrodynamic energy conservation equation across a perpendicular shock [18]. The density and temperature of each species are computed from the integration of the particle distributions derived from the fitting analysis.

The particle energy flux is shown in Fig. 3(a). Since the \( H^+ \) PUI measurements are collected over a \( \sim 24 \text{ h} \) period, we linearly interpolate the \( H^+ \) PUI data to the resolution of the \( H^+ \) SW data. For the two PUI data points nearest to the
shock, we assume the PUI density and temperature are constant up to the shock jump. We only show data for H$^+$ SWIs and H$^+$ PUIs in Fig. 3(a). Below, we discuss the contributions of electrons, alphas (He$^{++}$), other nonthermal particles, and the magnetic field to the total energy flux. Note that the small-scale fluctuations seen in the PUI energy flux in Fig. 3, as well as the steady decline in PUI energy flux within $\sim$0.25 day ahead of the shock, are due to changes in the SW bulk flow speed in the shock frame, $u_S$, in Eq. (1).

The total energy flux (particles plus magnetic field) should be conserved across the shock. However, the energy flux of each particle species will change depending on their interaction with the shock. In Fig. 3(a), H$^+$ SWIs have $\sim$70% of the total observed energy flux (H$^+$ SWIs plus H$^+$ PUIs) upstream of the shock, while H$^+$ PUIs have $\sim$30%. H$^+$ SWIs lose $\sim$85% of their energy flux across the shock and H$^+$ PUIs increase by $\sim$30%. The decrease in SW energy flux, which is strikingly similar to what Voyager 2 observed at the TS (note that we show energy density flux, and Richardson et al. [11] show energy per particle), and the preferential heating of PUIs across the shock is clear evidence that nonthermal particles, including PUIs, modify the shock structure [23]. Downstream of the shock, the H$^+$ PUI energy flux is approximately four times that of H$^+$ SWIs. Note, however, that while the majority of the upstream energy flux is contained in H$^+$ SWIs and PUIs, their combined energy flux downstream is smaller than that upstream by $\sim$50%. This difference is significantly larger than the expected change in magnetic energy flux across the shock [18], indicating that we are not accounting for all of the particles.

Interestingly, SWAP count rates show a tail at energies above the H$^+$ PUI cutoff downstream of the shock (Fig. 4). Before the shock, the H$^+$ SWIs [peaked at $\sim$650 eV/$q$ in Fig. 4(a), or I in Fig. 4(b)] and alphas (twice the energy or charge) are relatively cold, and the H$^+$ PUI distribution is well represented by a filled-shell function with cutoff at approximately twice the SW speed. After the shock, H$^+$ SWIs, alphas, and H$^+$ PUIs are all hotter and denser (the count rates increase and broaden in energy), but there is also a tail population at energies above the H$^+$ PUI cutoff which was not included in the H$^+$ PUI filled-shell fit [7].
We compute the H$^+$ PUI tail energy flux by fitting a power-law speed distribution in the SW frame to the 5 energy bins above the H$^+$ PUI filled-shell cutoff (before He$^+$ PUIs) after converting to SWAP count rates. We determine the best-fit function to be $f(v) = 1134 \left[ s^3 \text{ km}^{-6} \right] \left( v/u_c \right)^{-9.7}$, where $v$ is the particle speed and $u_c$ is the H$^+$ PUI filled-shell cutoff speed, both in the SW frame. Because of the very steep slope, the majority of the PUI tail density is within the fitted energy range. The H$^+$ PUI tail density is $\sim 1.9 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, approximately 15% of the total downstream H$^+$ PUI density, and the effective temperature is $\sim 1.1 \times 10^7 \text{ K}$. Based on these derived parameters, it appears possible that the PUI tail originated from H$^+$ PUIs that were energized at the shock by, for example, reflection from the cross-shock potential and energization in the upstream motional electric field [9,23,24]. The steepness of the PUI tail appears reasonable under this scenario since this is not likely diffusive shock acceleration or particle interactions with turbulence, which would likely result in a harder spectrum. Interestingly, the PUI tail persists for $\sim 2$–$3$ day downstream of the shock, where the spectral slope slightly softens before the tail disappears.

While SWAP does not measure the magnetic field or electrons, and it is difficult to quantify the alpha and He$^+$ PUI distributions directly from SWAP observations, we can estimate their contribution to the total energy flux. First, we determine the electron density assuming the plasma is quasineutral, and that electrons have the same temperature as H$^+$ SWIs upstream and downstream of the shock. This assumption is reasonable based on theoretical arguments of electron temperatures in the SW [25]. Though some electrons may accelerate to non-thermal energies at the shock, it is unlikely they hold a significant fraction of the downstream pressure [23]. Second, we assume the alpha number density is 4% of H$^+$ SWIs (based on SW data extracted from OMNIWeb at 1 au $\sim 4$–$5$ months earlier) and their temperature is 4.5 times that of H$^+$ SWIs based on their collisionless nature [26]. We note that our results are not sensitive to assumptions for the alpha particles due to their low number density.

Next, we calculate the He$^+$ PUI distribution upstream of the shock [7] using the Vasyliunas and Siscoe [27] distribution and scale the density to match the He$^+$ PUI shelf [$\sim 4$–$8$ keV/$q$ in Fig. 4(a)]. To estimate the He$^+$ PUI distribution downstream, following Zank et al. [9,24] we...
assume that the majority of He\textsuperscript{+} PUIs increase in temperature similarly to the H\textsuperscript{+} PUIs (temperature increased by \(\sim 65\%\)), but a fraction of them (proportional to \(\sqrt{Zm_{\text{He}}/m_{\text{H}}}=0.5\) times the reflection efficiency of H\textsuperscript{+} PUIs (15\%), or \(0.5 \times 15\% = 7.5\%\)) may be further energized at the shock with a temperature increasing by a factor of \((m_{\text{He}}/m_{\text{H}})^2 = 16\) times greater than H\textsuperscript{+} PUIs. Then, we include the high energy particle pressure gradient ahead of the shock calculated above, assuming it increases linearly with distance starting from 0.07 au upstream of the shock and reaches 0.03 pPa at the shock front, with a constant pressure downstream. Finally, we include the magnetic field energy flux. In lieu of \textit{in situ} magnetic field measurements, as \textit{New Horizons} is not equipped with a magnetometer, we assume that the magnetic field magnitude upstream of the shock is equal to the median value measured by \textit{Voyager 2} from \(\sim 22\) to 39 au from the Sun (0.15 nT) \cite{18,28}.

Including these populations in the total energy flux, as well as the H\textsuperscript{+} PUI tail downstream of the shock, yields a nearly constant energy flux across the shock [Fig. 3(b)]. While our calculation of the total energy flux has uncertainties from, e.g., estimates of the magnetic field and measurement errors \cite{18}, our analysis strongly indicates that H\textsuperscript{+} PUIs hold a significant fraction of the total downstream energy flux. Considering the possible range of magnetic field magnitude \cite{18}, H\textsuperscript{+} PUIs hold between \(\sim 30\%\) and \(\sim 60\%\) of the downstream energy flux, while H\textsuperscript{+} SWIs are only \(\sim 5\%–10\%\). The remaining downstream energy flux is in the magnetic field, alphas, He\textsuperscript{+} PUIs, electrons, and high energy particles combined. Thus, this study provides the first direct observation of the mediation and preferential heating of nonthermal PUIs, rather than the thermal SWIs, at a shock, where PUIs (including the tail) hold approximately half of the total downstream energy flux.

\textit{SWAP} H\textsuperscript{+} SWI and H\textsuperscript{+} PUI data are publicly available online at the CDAWeb \cite{29}.
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